From: Cathy Kubany

Sent: Wed, 7 Sep 2016 10:23:02 +0800

To: pittwater@northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au

Cc: Grant Tighe; Andrew

Davies; pittwater@parliament.nsw.gov.au; melinda.hewitt@northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au; ben.ta

ylor@northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au;kylie.ferguson@northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au;Bill

Gye;michael.wiener@mirvac.com;Roberta Conroy;Lizzie Hazelwood

Subject: PASADENA DA N0313/16

Attachments: 160907_final_Joint Response Pasadena DA 2.09.16 (003).pdf

Dear addressees

please find attached response to the Pasadena DA N0313/16 from community groups WPCA, CPF and SIRA.

Regards

Cathy Kubany

Document Set ID: 5501091 Version: 1, Version Date: 07/09/2016









September 6, 2016

Mark Ferguson Interim General Manager Northern Beaches Council P O Box 882 Mona Vale 1660

Dear Mark,

Re: Objection to the Pasadena Development Application

The purpose of this joint letter is to affirm **loudly and clearly** that, having carefully reviewed the details of this Development Application in light of the **extensive local knowledge that both Onshore and Offshore groups** have of the Church Point precinct, we share a **unanimous view** that this application will have an **intolerably unsustainable affect upon local parking and an intensification of use the precinct cannot accommodate**. The proposed use is also in conflict with the quiet residential character of the area.

Our second joint concern is in regard to the Crown land situated between the Pasadena building and the waterfront pathway. The application alienates this prime Crown land area from open public use. This area, with its right angle intrusion into the waterway, forms "the point" at Church Point. Without open public accessibility as a shared space at the finale of one of the great waterfront walks of the world, the Point will be somewhat "pointless". The proposed development application makes no attempt to integrate or make a positive contribution to the public domain.

Our final concern relates to the interface of the west elevation and landscape treatment of the building with Thomas Stephens Reserve. The proposed extension to the west is **detrimental to the privacy and amenity of this public space**. This more intimate area of the Reserve will be destroyed by the extended building footprint and introduction of openings onto the Reserve at Ground level. Critically this extension will exacerbate the pinch point at the north east corner of the Reserve with a significant negative impact on the public waterfront promenade.

Our associations will be submitting separate correspondence further detailing reasons for our objections.

Yours sincerely,

Michael Wiener

President, WPCA

Bill Gye,

President, SIRA

Cathy Kubany

CPF

Cc: Ben Taylor; Melinda Hewitt; Andrew Davies; Grant Tighe; Kylie Ferguson; Rob Stokes, MP